The Underlying Emotional Background of Quaternary Palaeontology: Nostalgia and *Ubi Sunt* in a Postdictive Science

Policarp HORTOLÀ¹

Abstract. This short essay aims to provide insight into the emotional background underlying Quaternary palaeontology and encourage scholars to thoroughly discuss the psychological determining factors behind its practice. The nostalgia for past times and the feeling of loss of a world that will never return are the emotional landmark of Quaternary palaeontology. Due to its taste for perished things and nostalgic turn into the past, Quaternary palaeontology participates in the bias of the pagan version of the Ubi sunt elegiac motif. While this work specifically concerns Quaternary palaeontology, it can probably serve as a guide to reveal the perceptions and motivations behind other sciences.

Rezumat. Acest scurt material își propune să ofere o perspectivă asupra fundalului emoțional care caracterizează paleontologia cuaternară și să încurajeze cercetătorii să abordeze în detaliu factorii psihologici determinanți asociați metodelor sale. Nostalgia pentru vremurile trecute și sentimentul pierderii unei lumi care nu se va mai întoarce niciodată reprezintă reperul emoțional al paleontologiei cuaternare. Datorită înclinației său pentru lucrurile dispărute și apelului său la nostalgia trecutului, paleontologia cuaternară contribuie la preferința pentru versiunea păgână a motivului elegiac Ubi sunt. Deși această lucrare se referă îndeosebi la paleontologia cuaternară, ea poate servi probabil ca model pentru dezvăluirea percepțiilor și motivațiilor prezente și în alte domenii științifice.

Keywords: Psychology of science; sociology of science; history and philosophy of science; historical biology; fossil record; Pleistocene; Holocene.

"Nothing seems to us changed. Out of the unreal shadows of the night comes back the real life that we had known. We have to resume it where we had left off, and there steals over us a terrible sense of the necessity for the continuance of energy in the same wearisome round of stereotyped habits, or a wild longing, it may be, that our eyelids might open some morning upon a world that had been refashioned anew

¹ Àrea de Prehistòria, Universitat Rovira i Virgili (URV), and Institut Català de Paleoecologia Humana i Evolució Social (IPHES), Campus Sescelades URV (Edifici W3), ES-43007 Tarragona, Catalonia, Spain. Email: policarp.hortola@urv.cat

in the darkness for our pleasure, a world in which things would have fresh shapes and colours, and be changed, or have other secrets, a world in which the past would have little or no place, or survive, at any rate, in no conscious form of obligation or regret, the remembrance even of joy having its bitterness and the memories of pleasure their pain."

- Oscar Wilde (*The Picture of Dorian Gray*, Lippincott's Monthly Magazine, July 1890)

Introduction

The sciences can be more or less strictly divided into nomothetic and idiographic². These two terms were coined at the end of the 19th century by Windelband³. While nomothetic sciences (whose accompanying adjective derives from Greek νόμος, nomos, law; θέσις, thesis, a proposition) seek ahistorical, general laws, idiographic sciences (the accompanying adjective coming from Greek ἴδιος, *idios*, one's own; γράφος, *graphos*, a writing) focus on historical, particular events. As a result, nomothetic sciences (physics, chemistry, molecular biology, etc.) are predictive, whereas idiographic sciences (astronomy, historical geology, prehistory, etc.) are postdictive. Although palaeontology can be considered an idiographic science rooted in taxonomic nomenclature and description⁴, different positions regarding its real (idiographic or nomothetic) epistemic status can be found in the literature⁵. Beyond this dichotomy, according to Salvatore & Valsiner⁶ "all science is idiographic as it strives towards generalization about its phenomena through time — yet the outcomes of such efforts can become nomothetic in the sense of generalization based on evidence that 'once was' and 'another time was as well". Because of their historical nature, postdictive sciences tend to accumulate evidence in support of a hypothesis, rather than trying to disprove hypotheses. This does not imply that historical sciences are inferior methodologically to the experimental ones⁷. In-depth discussions on epistemic issues such as overdetermination vs. underdetermination in the historical sciences are available elsewhere⁸.

² E.g. NAGEL 1952; GHISELIN 1997, 297–299, 308; KLUGE 2003; TURNER 2014.

³ WINDELBAND 1894.

⁴ GRANTHAM 2009; HUSS 2009.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 5}$ MORTHEKAI 2019, and references therein.

⁶ SALVATORE & VALSINER 2010.

⁷ MOHARIR 1993; CLELAND 2001.

⁸ E.g. TURNER 2005; TUCKER 2011.

With regard to the temporality in sciences, an assessment of their different approaches easily allows one to conclude that, in fact, there is indeed no science that looks at the present, a point of view that was already insinuated at the beginnings of the 20th century by Poincaré⁹. Any scientific approach looks either to the future or to the past. To 'predict' something implies anticipating the future, because only that which has not yet happened can be predicted. Conversely, to 'explain' or 'describe' something implies going back to the past, because only what has already happened can be explained and only what has already been can be described.

According to Ebbinghausen & Korn¹⁰, the scientific reasoning of palaeontology encompasses the following steps: (1) observation and/or description and/or measurement; (2) classification; (3) establishment of laws, rules and interpretations; and (4) establishment of principles. However, palaeontology attempts to reconstruct a type of event that is not recurrent but unrepeatable due to entropy, evolution, and ultimately the laws of thermodynamics of irreversible processes¹¹.

From the perspective of the history of palaeontology, it can be mentioned that Georges Cuvier treated the fossil record as representations of ancient coins or monuments of remote time that needed to be decrypted¹². The focus on the fossil record is possibly the key difference between neontology and palaeontology¹³. In turn, the framework of biological evolution is supported by two pillars. While neontology can explain the mechanisms of evolution, palaeontology provides the facts of evolution. The mechanisms are an ahistorical continuum (scientific laws), whereas the facts are historical discrete items (the fossil record). As such, the facts of evolution are perished things from the deep past that mark a given moment in the unremitting history of Earth and life.

Quaternary palaeontology is a peculiar field within palaeontological science because it appeals to the nature of ourselves as it is associated with the emergence, spread and evolution of the genus *Homo*. It is also noteworthy because it encompasses not only the Pleistocene megafaunal extinction event¹⁴, but also striking examples of Holocene insular extinctions such as those of the last, Wrangel woolly mammoths¹⁵, the West Indies sloths¹⁶,

⁹ POINCARÉ 1918 (1905), 254.

¹⁰ EBBIGHAUSEN & KORN 2013.

¹¹ E.g. PRIGOGINE & WIAME 1946, and PRIGOGINE & NICOLIS 1971, on the connection between life and thermodynamics of irreversible processes; MICHAELIAN 2011, and references therein, on the connection between the origin and evolution of life and thermodynamic dissipation.

 $^{^{\}rm 12}$ TAMBORINI 2017, and references therein.

¹³ CURRIE 2019.

 $^{^{\}rm 14}$ E.g. Koch & Barnosky 2006; ELIAS & Schreve 2013.

¹⁵ VARTANYAN, GARUTT & SHER 1993.

¹⁶ MACPHEE, ITURRALDE-VINENT & JIMÉNEZ VÁZQUEZ 2007.

the Madagascar elephant birds¹⁷, and the New Zealand moas¹⁸. Having these characteristics in mind, the aim of this short essay is to provide insight into the emotional background underlying Quaternary palaeontology and encourage scholars to thoroughly discuss the psychological determining factors behind its practice.

Quaternary palaeontology and the nostalgia for perished things

Nostalgia (from Greek vóστος, *nostos*, returning home; ἄλγος, *algos*, pain) can be defined as "a manifestation of the suffering generated by loss"¹⁹. This emotion represents the attempt to force two images (home and abroad, past and present, dream and everyday life) into a single one²⁰. The neurobiological bases and psychological implications of nostalgia have been treated extensively elsewhere²¹. Based on a previous essay by Foucault, Bann²² suggested that the discipline of history can be considered the result of a feeling of loss. By the same token, the nostalgia for lost worlds in the context of palaeontology has been slightly mentioned before by Mayor²³.

In postdictive sciences as a whole, there is also an evident nostalgic feeling of loss. They revolve around decay, perished things, around 'that which was but that will not be again'. The idea of perished things and decay is, in some ways, comparable to that of ruins and ruining. According to Hetzler²⁴, a 'ruin' is the disjunctive product of the intrusion of nature upon the human-made without loss of the unity produced by humans, and 'ruining' — started by either human or natural causes — is the maturation process done by nature in ruin time. In line with Ginsberg²⁵, "[n]ostalgia is a taste for ruins. It takes its reflective pleasure in the recovery of fragments from the past for which significant continuity to the present is lacking. The past for which we are nostalgic has no place in the present. The objects of our nostalgia are anachronistic and incongruous. (...) The nostalgic is a sentiment of saving". By his part, Currie²⁶ has asserted that "[o]ur primary window into the past is through traces:

¹⁷ HANSFORD *et al.* 2018.

¹⁸ HOLDAWAY & JACOMB 2000.

¹⁹ ASLANOV 2009.

²⁰ BOYM 2001, xiii-xiv.

²¹ E.g. SEDIKIDES et al. 2008; SPEER, BHANJI & DELGADO 2014; OBA et al. 2016; SEDIKIDES & WILDSCHUT 2016; 2018; KIKUCHI & NORIUCHI 2017.

²² FOUCAULT 1966; BANN 1995, 10.

²³ MAYOR 2000, 246–248.

²⁴ HETZLER 1988.

²⁵ GINSBERG 2004, 362-363.

²⁶ CURRIE 2018, 63.

Policarp Hortolà

the rock, bone, and ruin that remain after time's destructive work. This destruction is lamentable, but even these fragments reveal worlds that are both alien and disquietingly familiar (...)".

In Romanticism — which represented a strong empathy with nature²⁷ —, the ruined site was a representation of the lost self in the lost past²⁸. Furthermore, it has been suggested the existence of romanticist parallels and analogies between the (natural) fossil and the (cultural) totem, in the measure that one can do the function of the other²⁹.

It is worth pointing out that the thought of perishing and decaying would link with the Hispanic Baroque worldview. This worldview was constructed upon the premises of 'the wheel of fortune': the impossibility of permanence, of unstoppable growth, and of the final abandonment of the hope that something new might interrupt the chain of destruction³⁰.

A modern issue associated with Quaternary palaeontology is the rewilding and restoration of ecological proxies of Pleistocene environments³¹. A connection between this subject and nostalgia has been suggested by Higgs³², as follows: "[w]e have affection for natural places and things, for the noncontrived flow of existence. Thus, nostalgia can have an emotional appeal, but also an ecological basis". In a similar sense, in the opinion of Donlan *et al.*³³ "humans have emotional relationships with large vertebrates that reflect our own Pleistocene heritage".

Quaternary palaeontology and the Ubi sunt elegiac motif

Due to its taste for perished things and nostalgic turn to the past, Quaternary palaeontology participates in the bias of the *Ubi sunt* elegiac motif. These Latin words are short for the rhetorical question *Ubi sunt qui ante nos fuerunt*? ('where are those who were before us?'), taken from the translation of one of the lines of verses concluding the *Sayings of St Bernard*, a 13th-century poem written in Middle English³⁴. This topos is in reality much older, since it can be found in Biblical texts such as Psalms, Job, Ecclesiastes, and Lamentations³⁵. The *Ubi sunt* motif is conceptually linked to the 'dances of death' (aka *danses macabres*). These dances were a typically medieval literary, pictorial, sculptural, and even popular-theatre genre that spread throughout Europe as an allegory to the universality of death. In this genre,

²⁷ RUSE 1996, 26.

²⁸ ENJUTO RANGEL 2010, 9.

²⁹ MITCHELL 2001.

³⁰ FLOR 2005.

³¹ E.g. DONLAN *et al.* 2006; CARO 2007; JØRGENSEN 2019; TOIT 2019.

³² HIGGS 2003, 145.

³³ DONLAN *et al.* 2005.

³⁴ CROSS 1958.

³⁵ FULLER 2010.

either explicitly or implicitly Death leads his victims to the grave in a sort of dance or procession. Descriptions of the 'dances of death' and their development can be found $elsewhere^{36}$.

As a literary topic with different traditions, the pagan version of the *Ubi sunt* is characterised by its melancholic tone, which longs for the 'good old days' that will never come again, evoking a sense of loss, longing, and nostalgia at the passing of life's beauty and joys³⁷.

If an exercise of parallel between the *Ubi sunt* motif and fossils is carried out, the latter can be regarded as a special category of *memento mori*, a topic of remembrance of the inevitability of death whose commonest object is the skull. As a result, the fossil assemblages of vertebrates would qualify as a metaphor for contemporary cemeteries.

Concluding remarks

Analysing the psychological determining factors behind Quaternary palaeontology practice contributes to better understand the 'how and why' of this science.

Nostalgia for past times and the feeling of loss of a world that will never return are the emotional landmark of Quaternary palaeontology. Additionally, Quaternary palaeontology can be regarded as emotionally 'decadentistic'. The reason is that the intellectual hallmark of such a 19th-century literary movement — which in turn can be related to the literary pagan version of the *Ubi sunt* tradition — was precisely the nostalgic turn on the past.

While this work specifically concerns Quaternary palaeontology, it can probably serve as a guide to reveal the perceptions and motivations behind other sciences.

Acknowledgements. G.F. Monnier (Department of Anthropology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, U.S.A.) edited the manuscript for English accuracy. This work was supported by grants MICINN/ERDF PGC2018-093925-B-C32 (Government of Spain plus European Commission), MINECO CGL2016-80975-P (Government of Spain), and AGAUR 2017 SGR 859 (Government of Catalonia). IPHES is a CERCA Centre partially financed by the General Directorate for Research of the Government of Catalonia.

³⁶ E.g. CLARK 1950; SPIVACK 1988; GERTSMAN 2010, 3–13; MACKENBACH & DREIER 2012.

³⁷ SCIACCA 2006; ATCHLEY & SAUER 2008.

References

- ASLANOV, C. 2009. Eyewitness vs. mediated narratives of lost cities at the end of the Middle Ages: Acre, Constantinople, Granada. *Partial Answers* 7(2), 169–187.
- ATCHLEY, C., M.M. SAUER 2008. Ubi sunt. In: M.M. Sauer (ed.), The Facts on File Companion to British Poetry. Before 1600, 447. New York: Facts On File, Inc.
- BANN, S. 1995. Romanticism and the Rise of History. New York: Twayne Publishers.

BOYM, S. 2001. The Future of Nostalgia. New York: Basic Books.

- CARO, T. 2007. The Pleistocene re-wilding gambit. Trends in ecology & evolution 22(6), 281–283.
- CLARK, J.M. 1950. The Dance of Death in medieval literature. Some recent theories of its origin. *The Modern Language Review* 45(3), 336–345.
- CLELAND, C.E. 2001. Historical science, experimental science, and the scientific method. *Geology* 29(11), 987–990.
- CROSS, J.E. 1958. The Sayings of St Bernard and Ubi Sount Qui Ante Nos Fuerount. The Review of English Studies [new series] 9(33), 1–7.
- CURRIE, A. 2018. *Rock, Bone, and Ruin. An optimist's guide to the historical sciences.* Cambridge, MA and London: The MIT Press.
- CURRIE, A. 2019. Paleobiology and philosophy. *Biology & Philosophy* 34(2), article 31 (13 pp.); doi:10.1007/s10539-019-9682-2.
- DONLAN, C.J., J. BERGER, C.E. BOCK, J.H. BOCK, D.A. BURNEY, J.A. ESTES, D. FOREMAN, P.S. MARTIN, G.W. ROEMER, F.A. SMITH, M.E. SOULÉ, H.W. GREENE 2006. Pleistocene rewilding: an optimistic agenda for twenty-first century conservation. *The American Naturalist* 168(5), 660–681.
- DONLAN, C.J., H.W. GREENE, J. BERGER, C.E. BOCK, J.H. BOCK, D.A. BURNEY, J.A. ESTES, D. FOREMAN, P.S. MARTIN, G.W. ROEMER, F.A. SMITH, M.E. SOULÉ 2005. Re-wilding North America. *Nature* 436(7053), 913–914.
- EBBIGHAUSEN, R., D. KORN 2013. Paleontology as a circumstantial evidence lawsuit. *Historical Biology* 25(2), 283–295.
- ELIAS, S.A., D.C. SCHREVE 2013. Late Pleistocene megafaunal extinctions. In: S.A. Elias (ed.), *Encyclopedia* of *Quaternary Science* [second edition], 700–712. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- ENJUTO RANGEL, C. 2010. Cities in Ruins. The politics of modern poetics. West Lafayette, In: Purdue University Press.
- FLOR, F.R. DE LA 2005. On the notion of a melancholic Baroque [translated from the Spanish by L. Martín-Estudillo and N. Spadaccini]. In: N. Spadaccini, L. Martín-Estudillo (eds.), *Hispanic Baroques: Reading Cultures in Context*, 3–19. Nashville, TN: Vanderbilt University Press.
- FOUCAULT, M. 1966. Les Mots et les Choses. Une archéologie des sciences humaines. Paris: Gallimard.
- FULLER, D. 2010. Lyrics, sacred and secular. In: C. Saunders (ed.), *A Companion to Medieval Poetry*, 258–276. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- GERTSMAN, E. 2010. The Dance of Death in the Middle Ages. Image, text, performance. Turnhout (Belgium): Brepols.
- GHISELIN, M.T. 1997. *Metaphysics and the Origin of Species*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press. GINSBERG, R. 2004. *The Aesthetics of Ruins*. Amstedam and New York: Rodopi.

- GRANTHAM, T.A. 2009. Taxic paleobiology and the pursuit of a unified evolutionary theory.In: D. Sepkoski, M. Ruse (eds.), *The Paleobiological Revolution. Essays on the growth of modern paleontology*, 215–238. Chicago, IL and London: The University of Chicago Press.
- HANSFORD, J., P.C. WRIGHT, A. RASOAMIARAMANANA, V.R. PÉREZ, L.R. GODFREY, D. ERRICKSON, T. THOMPSON, S.T. TURVEY 2018. Early Holocene human presence in Madagascar evidenced by exploitation of avian megafauna. *Science advances* 4, eaat6925 (6 pp.); doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aat6925.
- HETZLER, F.M. 1988. Causality: ruin time and ruins. Leonardo 21 (1), 51–55.
- HIGGS, E. 2003. Nature by Design. People, natural process, and ecological restoration. Cambridge, MA and London: The MIT Press.
- HOLDAWAY, R.N., C. JACOMB 2000. Rapid extinction of the moas (Aves: Dinornithiformes): model, test, and implications. *Science* 287(5461), 2250–2254.
- HUSS, J. 2009. The shape of evolution: the MBL model and clade shape. In: D. Sepkoski, M. Ruse (eds), *The Paleobiological Revolution. Essays on the growth of modern paleontology*, 326–345. Chicago, IL and London: The University of Chicago Press.
- JØRGENSEN, D. 2019. *Recovering Lost Species in the Modern Age. Histories of longing and belonging.* Cambridge, MA and London: The MIT Press.
- KIKUCHI, Y., M. NORIUCHI 2017. The nostalgic brain: its neural basis and positive emotional role in resilience. In: S. Fukuda (ed.), *Emotional Engineering* [vol. 5], 43–53. Cham (Switzerland): Springer.
- KLUGE, A.G. 2003. On the deduction of species relationships: a précis. Cladistics 19(3), 233-239.
- KOCH, P.L., A.D. BARNOSKY 2006. Late Quaternary extinctions: state of the debate. Annual Review of *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 37, 215–250.
- MACKENBACH, J.P., R.P. DREIER 2012. Dances of death: macabre mirrors of an unequal society. *International Journal of Public Health* 57(6), 915–924.
- MACPHEE, R.D.E., M.A. ITURRALDE-VINENT, O. JIMÉNEZ VÁZQUEZ 2007. Prehistoric sloth extinctions in Cuba: implications of a new "last" appearance date. *Caribbean Journal of Science* 43(1), 94–98.
- MAYOR, A. 2000. *The First Fossil Hunters. Paleontology in Greek and Roman times*. Princeton, NJ and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- MICHAELIAN, K. 2011. Thermodynamic dissipation theory for the origin of life. *Earth System Dynamics* 2(1), 37–51.
- MITCHELL, W.J.T. 2001. Romanticism and the life of things: fossils, totems, and images. *Critical Inquiry* 28(1), 167–184.
- MOHARIR, P.S. 1993. History syndrome or Popperian credentials of geology. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Earth and Planetary Sciences)* 102(2), 283–305.
- MORTHEKAI, P. 2019. Philosophies for the palaeosciences a review. Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy 85(1), 95–120.
- NAGEL, E. 1952. Some issues in the logic of historical analysis. *The Scientific Monthly* 74(3), 162–169 [reproduced in P. Gardiner (ed.) 1959, *Theories of History*, 373–385, The Free Press, New York].
- OBA, K., M. NORIUCHI, T. ATOMI, Y. MORIGUCHI, Y. KIKUCHI 2016. Memory and reward systems coproduce 'nostalgic' experiences in the brain. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 11(7), 1069–1077.
- POINCARÉ, H. 1918 [1905]. La Valeur de la Science. Paris: Flammarion.

- PRIGOGINE, I., G. NICOLIS 1971. Biological order, structure and instabilities. *Quarterly reviews of biophysics* 4(2-3), 107–148.
- PRIGOGINE, I., J.M. WIAME 1946. Biologie et thermodynamique des phénomènes irréversibles. *Experientia* 2(11), 451–453.
- RUSE, M. 1996. Monad to Man. The concept of progress in evolutionary biology. Cambridge, MA and London: Harvard University Press.
- SALVATORE, S., J. VALSINER 2010. Between the general and the unique. Overcoming the nomothetic versus idiographic opposition. *Theory & Psychology* 20(6), 1–18.
- SCIACCA, C. DI 2006. The *Ubi sunt* motif and the soul-and-body legend in Old English homilies: sources and relationships. *Journal of English and Germanic Philology* 105(3), 365–387.
- SEDIKIDES, C., T. WILDSCHUT 2016. Past forward: nostalgia as a motivational force. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 20(5), 319–321.
- SEDIKIDES, C., T. WILDSCHUT 2018. Finding meaning in nostalgia. *Review of General Psychology* 22(1), 48–61.
- SEDIKIDES, C., T. WILDSCHUT, J. ARNDT, C. ROUTLEDGE 2008. Nostalgia. Past, present, and future. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 17(5), 304–307.
- SPEER, M.E., J.P. BHANJI, M.R. DELGADO 2014. Savoring the past: positive memories evoke value representations in the striatum. *Neuron* 84(4), 847–856.
- SPIVACK, C. 1988. Dance of Dead. In: J.-C. Seigneuret (ed.), *Dictionary of Literary Themes and Motifs*. *A-J*, 321–328. Westport, CT and London: Greenwood.
- TAMBORINI, M. 2017. 'From the unknown to the known and backwards:' representing and presenting remote time in nineteenth-century palaeontology. In: S. Baumbach, L. Henningsen, K. Oschema (eds.), *The Fascination with Unknown Time*, 115–140. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- TOIT, J.T. DU 2019. Pleistocene rewilding: an enlightening thought experiment. In: N. Pettorelli, S.M. Durant, JT du Toit (eds.), *Rewilding*, 55–72 Cambridge [etc.]: Cambridge University Press.
- TUCKER, A. 2011. Historical science, over- and underdetermined: a study of Darwin's inference of origins. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 62(4), 805–829.
- TURNER, D.D. 2005. Local underdetermination in historical science. Philosophy of Science 72(1), 209-230.
- TURNER, D.D. 2014. Philosophical issues in recent paleontology. Philosophy Compass 9(7), 494–505.
- VARTANYAN, S.L., V.E. GARUTT, A.V. SHER 1993. Holocene dwarf mammoths from Wrangel Island in the Siberian Arctic. *Nature* 362(6418), 337–340.
- WINDELBAND, W. 1894. Geschichte und Naturwissenschaft. Rede zum Antritt des Rectorats der Kaiser-Wilhelms-Universität Strassburg, geh. am 1. Mai 1894. Strassburg: Heitz (Heitz & Mündel) [English translation (J.T. Lamiell) in Theory & Psychology 8(1), 5–22, 1998].



© 2020 by the authors; licensee Editura Universității Al. I. Cuza din Iași. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).